# WORLD-CLASS OUTSTANDING INTERNATIONAL

#### RESOLVING VIBRATION ISSUES OF DIESEL ENGINE DRIVEN FIRE WATER PUMPS

Case Study By

Sherief Mekawey Principal MDS Engineer GE-Bently Nevada









GEORGE R. BROWN CONVENTION CENTER 9.30 – 10.3.2013



### Contents

- Background
- Technical Data and Acceptance Criteria
- Contractual Vibration Points
- Vibration Measurement Locations at Field
- Analysis
- Conclusions from Investigation
- Proposed Actions
- Final Vibration Results
- Lessons Learnt





### Background

- Four numbers of Fire Water Pumps supplied newly failed to pass SAT in 2006 due to high vibration on the Pump Head.
- It is a serie of the series of the series
- 3<sup>rd</sup> vibration consultant (GE) was involved in Oct 2009, implementation of recommendations started in 2010, hand over in 2012.



9.30 - 10.3.2013

### **Technical Data and Acceptance Criteria**

#### • Pump:

- Vertically suspended centrifugal pump, 3 stages
- 1750 rpm, water lubricated, 880 cu.m/hr
- Orive Shaft :
  - Double Universal Joint Cardan Shaft

#### Gearbox:

- 9 750 HP, 1750 RPM,
- 9 1:1 Ratio, Right Angle
- Threaded Coupling Type
- Diesel Engine:
  - 12 Cylinders, DITA, 800HP, 1750 RPM

Acceptance Criteria:

 As per NFPA 20 article 7.6.1.5 which refers to Hydraulic Institute Standard (5.84 mm/s rms) at Pump Head



42<sup>nd</sup> Turbomachinery 29<sup>th</sup> Pump symposia



### **Contractual Vibration Points**





42<sup>nd</sup> Turbomachinery 29<sup>th</sup> Pump SYMPOSIA

### **Vibration Measurement Locations at Field**





## Analysis



Comparison of vibration signatures of Pumps A, B & D on the GB output Vertical location

Observation#1:Vibration signature on Gearbox indicated that a significant energy components getting transmitted from the Diesel Engine at 1X, 3X, 3.5X and 6X



42<sup>nd</sup> Turbomachinery 29<sup>th</sup> Pump SYMPOSIA

Reason could be: vibration isolators are not installed either under the engine feet nor under the frame on which the engine is mounted.



Concrete Floor

Foundation/supporting arrangement for Diesel Engine, Gearbox and Pump.



42<sup>nd</sup> Turbomachinery 29<sup>th</sup> Pump SYMPOSIA

# Observation#2: Startup/coast down waterfall indicated resonance in the speed range 1200 to 1400 rpm



**29<sup>th</sup> Pump** symposia GEORGE R. BROWN CONVENTION CENTER

9.30 - 10.3.2013



Observation#3: Bump test data on the Gearbox structure indicated a resonance at 22.5Hz and 33 Hz, which is less than 20% separation margin (Pump Running speed 28.75cps)



42<sup>nd</sup> Turbomachinery 29<sup>th</sup> Pump SYMPOSIA



Observation#4: High frequency spectrum on GB indicated 1X GMF with sidebands, possibly due to wear of gear teeth/excessive backlash.



42<sup>nd</sup> Turbomachinery 29<sup>th</sup> Pump SYMPOSIA



### **Conclusions from Investigation**

- Unbalance of Gearbox cordon shaft or coupling hub mounted at gearbox input shaft
- Transfer of vibrations from diesel engine to the gearbox and pump assembly
- Structural resonances from gearbox support assembly.
- Premature wear of gear teeth / excessive backlash.



2<sup>nd</sup> Turbomachinery 9<sup>th</sup> Pump symposia



### **Proposed Actions**

- In situ balancing of gearbox cordon shaft and GB O/P shaft.
- Installation of vibration isolators below the engine feet or the base frame
- Modify the Gearbox structure to shift the natural frequency with 30% separation margin.
- Inspection of Gearbox for excessive gear wear/backlash.



9 30 - 10 3 2013



### **Actions in Sequence**





Action#1: In-situ Balancing done on GB I/P and GB O/P shafts to reduce unbalance on cordon shaft and to reduce excitation on the GB structure respectively. (coupling between Engine and GB used to balance the GB I/P shaft. GB fan at the top used to balance the GB O/P shaft).



42<sup>nd</sup> Turbomachinery 29<sup>th</sup> Pump SYMPOSIA



#### Action#2: The engine stand was replaced with a new stand with vibration isolators





42<sup>nd</sup> Turbomachinery 29<sup>th</sup> Pump SYMPOSIA

# comparison of vibration spectrum before and after the modification of engine base frame with vibration isolators



12

42<sup>nd</sup> Turbomachinery 29<sup>th</sup> Pump SYMPOSIA

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{George r. Brown convention center} \\ 9.30-10.3.2013 \end{array}$ 

#### Action#3: Pump Head structure modified



#### Old Pump head

#### New Pump head



42<sup>nd</sup> Turbomachinery 29<sup>th</sup> Pump SYMPOSIA



Bump test on the GB and pump head (with modified head) showed natural frequency at 41.25 Hz (achieved 41% separation margin)



42<sup>nd</sup> Turbomachinery 29<sup>th</sup> Pump SYMPOSIA

Action#4: Gearbox inspected and found only 70% teeth contact. GB OEM brought to site, gear backlash was checked and adjusted to conform to OEM specifications



**42<sup>nd</sup> Turbomachinery 29<sup>th</sup> Pump** SYMPOSIA

GEORGE R. BROWN CONVENTION CENTER 9.30 - 10.3.2013

#### Comparison of vibration spectrum before and after the backlash adjustment on the Gearbox



20

42<sup>nd</sup> Turbomachinery 29<sup>th</sup> Pump SYMPOSIA

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{George r. Brown convention center} \\ 9.30-10.3.2013 \end{array}$ 



### **Final Vibration Results**

Pump-D selected as pilot, implemented all the mentioned action items and resulted in great improvement. Red highlighted in table below is the contract specified location (Limit 5.84 mm/s rms)

Location	Before the modification in Oct' 2009		After the modification in Nov' 2010	
	Vibration value - Overall in mm/s (rms)	Vibration value - 1X in mm/s (rms)	Vibration value - Overall in mm/s (rms)	Vibration value - 1X in mm/s (rms)
Engine DE-H	14.2	2.6	11.5	1.8
GB Input shaft DE H	21.1	16.2	9.2	4.5
GB Input shaft DE V	8.8	3.6	7.0	2.0
GB Input shaft DE A	18.0	14.2	8.1	5.3
GB Output Top Horizontal	22.8	20.3	11.0	5.1
GB Output Top Vertical	19.0	13.3	12.1	6.7
GB Output Bottom Horizontal	12.5	9.3	4.7	2.2
GB Output Bottom Vertical	11.1	9.9	5.7	3.1
GB Output Bottom Axial	5.3	4.8	3.7	1.0
Pump Horizontal	6.3	6.5	3.6	1.3



42<sup>nd</sup> Turbomachinery 29<sup>th</sup> Pump SYMPOSIA

GEORGE R. BROWN CONVENTION CENTER 9.30 - 10.3.2013



#### Lessons Learned

- All contractual limits must be carefully acknowledged during design and verified during FAT.
- Solving the problems in factory is much easier than doing it on site.
- Get the right consultant involved at the first chance.
- Understand the size of problem since beginning could save time, cost and efforts.
- Major modifications might be a solution whenever the problem is complex.





# END OF THE CASE...

# **QUESTIONS?**



**42<sup>nd</sup> Turbomachinery 29<sup>th</sup> Pump** SYMPOSIA